

SUMMARY OF SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING

DATE: April 22, 2002

TO: Ross Dunfee, Steering Committee Chairman
Tony Barrett, Department of Ecology

COPY: Steering Committee Members and Consultant Team

FROM: John Kosco, Tetra Tech & Dave Moss, Tt/KCM

SUBJECT: **Summary of NPDES Phase II Subcommittee Meeting**
Moses Lake Conference Center
April 12, 2002 9:00 am - 3:00 pm

PROJECT: EASTERN WASHINGTON STORMWATER MANAGEMENT
Stormwater Management Technical Manual *and*
Model Municipal NPDES Phase II Stormwater Program

Subcommittee Meeting Attendees:

Ross Dunfee – Benton County Lucy Peterschmidt – Spokane County Lloyd Brewer – City of Spokane Steve Plummer – City of Kennewick Tom Tebb – Ecology	John Knutson – Yakima County John Kosco – Tetra Tech Joe Wilson – City of Richland Dwane Van Epps – City of Chelan
--	---

PURPOSE OF MEETING:

This meeting was held to gather the core subcommittee members and at-large members to discuss:

- ❖ The updated schedule for the model program
- ❖ Information on the stormwater survey's received
- ❖ The list of BMPs and measurable goals
- ❖ Chapter 7 – Good Housekeeping

AGENDA FOR THIS MEETING:

1. Introductions; review of agenda; review of summary from 3/14/02 meeting
2. Present and Discuss Updated Schedule for the Model Program
3. Present and Discuss information received from the stormwater survey
4. Review and discuss list of BMPs and Measurable Goals
5. Review/input to Chapter 7 of the draft NPDES Phase II Model Program
6. Review/summarize highlights of meeting and prepare for Steering Committee meeting
7. Next meeting: date, time, place and agenda topics

BRIEF SUMMARY OF PROCEEDINGS:

1. The NPDES subcommittee began with a summary of the last meeting. A discussion followed on the issues related to the Phase II coverage area. For example, according to the regulations, the Phase II coverage area only applies to the area of the MS4 served by separate storm sewers and within the urbanized area boundary. Areas that drain to drywells, combined sewer systems, or areas outside of the urbanized area are not covered by Phase II. Also, areas within the Phase II coverage area may not have many activities which require attention. Therefore, a Phase II MS4 may choose to include, for practical reasons, an area that is larger than the original Phase II coverage area in its Phase II program. For example, the MS4 may choose to include the small portion of the City that is outside of the urbanized area so the program can be applied city-wide. This issue will be further discussed in Chapter 1.
2. The subcommittee also agreed to discuss in Chapter 1 the fact that the model Phase II program will need to be revised periodically in the future.
3. The subcommittee reviewed a revised schedule that was passed out by the consultant. This schedule had the subcommittee meeting monthly through July to develop a public review draft in August. The final program is still scheduled for January 2003. The next draft of the model program will be the first full draft. The subcommittee agreed to review half of this full draft during the May meeting (Chapters 1, 4, 5, and 6) and the other half during the June meeting (Chapters 2, 3, 7, 8 and 9). Costs will be developed and included in each chapter. The Manual Subcommittee will be meeting a second time in May (May 23), however, the NPDES subcommittee will wait until the May 9 meeting to decide if this second May meeting is necessary. The August and September meetings are currently tentative on the schedule.
4. The subcommittee briefly reviewed a summary of the stormwater survey responses received to date. The subcommittee agreed to postpone a detailed review of this summary until the next meeting when additional responses have been added.
5. A suggestion was made on when an MS4 will need to develop and implement their Phase II program. Tony Barrett stated that Phase II MS4s will not need to submit a full program with their Notice of Intent (NOI) submittal, but will be expected to develop their program during the first permit year. Full implementation is expected by the end of the five year permit term. A suggestion was also made to include a discussion in Chapter 1 on how a Phase II MS4 can modify BMPs in their program during the permit term.
6. The subcommittee then reviewed the list of BMPs and Measurable Goals for each of the six measures. A general timeline was discussed, with the first permit year consisting primarily of identifying budget and staff needs and developing the Phase II storm water plan. The second permit year would finalize the budget, staffing and plan, and would have the Phase II MS4 pass any ordinances, if necessary. The remaining three years of the permit term would consist of developing the associated plans and procedures to fully implement the program by the end of the permit term.
7. The subcommittee commented on some of the BMPs and measurable goals identified. The measurable goals will be modified to reflect changes to the general timeline noted above, and will be included in each of the appropriate chapters with the first full drafts.

8. The subcommittee also reviewed Chapter 7 – Good Housekeeping. The BMPs and measurable goals in this chapter will be updated according to the comments received with the previous item. A comment was made to add dust control as another general practice.

The **next meeting** will be at the Moses Lake Conference Center on **May 9, 2002**, from 9am to 3:30pm. The agenda will include:

- ❖ Discuss updated schedule
- ❖ Discuss EPA Model Phase II Permit (if available) and erosivity waiver
- ❖ Discuss stormwater survey results
- ❖ Discuss full drafts of Chapters 4, 5, and 6 including costs and cost assumptions
- ❖ Discuss whether any new Issue Papers should be prepared
- ❖ Discuss whether another May meeting will be held on May 23rd
- ❖ Followed by the Steering Committee Meeting from 3:30pm to 4:00pm